



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER  
LLP  
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20001-4413

**COPY MAILED**

**DEC 15 2009**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Patent No. 7,476,743	: DECISION ON APPLICATION FOR
Issued: January 13, 2009	: PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT and
Application No. 10/529,634	: NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE
Filing or 371(d) Date: November 16, 2005	: CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION
Dkt. No.: 09367.0012-00000	:

This is a decision on the "APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT – POST GRANT," filed February 27, 2009. Patentees request correction of the patent term adjustment from 266 days to 543 days. Patentees requested this correction on the sole basis that the Office took in excess of three years to issue the above-referenced patent.

The application for patent term adjustment (PTA) under 37 CFR 1.705(d) is **GRANTED TO THE EXTENT INDICATED HEREIN.**

The above-identified application matured into U.S. Pat. No. 7,476,743 on January 13, 2009. The patent issued with a patent term adjustment of 266 days. The instant application for patent term adjustment was timely filed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.705(d). Patentees argue that in view of Wyeth v. Dudas, 580 F. Supp. 2d 138, 88 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008), the patent is entitled to an adjustment of 543 days (266 days pursuant to 35 USC 154(b)(1)(A) *plus* 277 days pursuant to 35 USC 154(b)(1)(B)).

Under 37 CFR 1.703(f), patentees are entitled to a period of patent term adjustment equal to the period of delays based on the grounds set forth in 37 CFR 1.702 reduced by the period of time equal to the period of time during which patentees failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude prosecution pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704. In other words, patentees are entitled to the period of Office delay reduced by the period of applicant delay.

The Office asserts that as of the issuance of the patent on January 13, 2009, the application was pending three years and 277 days after national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application. The Office agrees that certain action was not taken within the specified time frame, and thus, the adjustment of 266 days pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1) is correct. At issue is whether patentees should accrue 277 days of patent term adjustment for the Office taking in excess of three years to issue the patent, as well as 266 days for Office failure to take a certain action within a specified time frame (or examination delay).

The Office contends that the period of 266 days of examination delay under 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1) overlaps with the period of 277 days of delay in issuance of the patent under 37 CFR 1.702(b).

Patentees' calculation of the period of overlap is inconsistent with the Office's interpretation of this provision. 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) limits the adjustment of patent term, as follows:

35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) limits the adjustment of patent term, as follows:

To the extent that the periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap, the period of any adjustment granted under this subsection shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

As explained in *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f)*<sup>1</sup> and of the *United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004), the Office interprets 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) as permitting either patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv), or patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), but not as permitting patent term adjustment under both 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv) and 154(b)(1)(B). Accordingly, the Office implements the overlap provision as follows:

If an application is entitled to an adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the period of delay under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay overlap under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). Thus, any days of delay for Office issuance of the patent more than 3 years after the filing date of the application, which overlap with the days of patent term adjustment accorded prior to the issuance of the patent will not result in any additional patent term adjustment. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), and 37 CFR § 1.703(f). See *Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment Under Twenty Year Term; Final Rule*, 65 Fed. Reg. 54366 (Sept. 18, 2000). See also *Revision of Patent Term Extension and Patent Term Adjustment Provisions; Final Rule*, 69 Fed. Reg. 21704 (April 22, 2004), 1282 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 100 (May 18, 2004).

Further, as stated in the *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f)* and of the *United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, the Office has consistently taken the position that if an application is entitled to an adjustment under the three-year pendency provision of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending before the Office (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after national stage commenced, is the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).

---

<sup>1</sup> Likewise, 37 CFR 1.703(f) provides that:

To the extent that periods of delay attributable to the grounds specified in § 1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted under this section shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

This interpretation is consistent with the statute. Taken together the statute and rule provide that to the extent that periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1) and in corresponding §1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

In this instance, the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay “overlap” under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) is the period during which the application was pending before the Office beginning on the date that national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application, April 11, 2005, and ending on the date that the patent issued, January 13, 2009.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1), the application was pending 14 months and 266 days prior to the issuance of the restriction requirement on October 9, 2007. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 CFR 1.702(b), the application was pending three years and 277 days prior to the issuance of the patent on January 13, 2009.

The 266 days of examination delay under 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1) overlaps with the period of 277 days of delay in issuance of the patent under 37 CFR 1.702(b). Entry of both the 266 days and the 277 days is neither permitted nor warranted given that 277 days is the actual number of days issuance of the patent was delayed.


Accordingly, at issuance, the Office should have considered the 277 days of Office delay under the three-year pendency provision in conjunction with the 266 days of examination delay and entered an overall adjustment of 277 days of patent term adjustment, the actual number of days that issuance of the patent was delayed.

In view thereof, this application file will be forwarded to the Certificate of Corrections branch for issuance of a certificate of correction to indicate that the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 277 days.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within ONE MONTH of the mail date indicated herein. The time period for seeking reconsideration is not subject to extension under 37 CFR 1.136.

Receipt is hereby acknowledged of the required patent term adjustment application fee of \$200.00. See, 37 CFR 1.18(e). As submission of the application fee of \$200.00 is a prerequisite prior to review of any request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment, the request for refund is hereby DISMISSED.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3205.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Alesia M. Brown', written in a cursive style.

Alesia M. Brown  
Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

CC: Draft Certificate of Correction

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
**CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

PATENT : 7,476,743 B2  
DATED : January 13, 2009  
INVENTOR(S) : Qian, et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

On the cover page,

[\*] Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 USC 154(b) by 266 days

Delete the phrase "by 266 days" and insert – by 277 days--